Case 2:13-cv-00475-CMR Document 1 Filed 01/28/13 Page 1 of 10 CIVIL COVER SHEET

SJS 44 (Rev. 12/07, NJ 5/08)

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

the civil docket sheet. (SEE I	NSTRUCTIONS ON THE REV	ERSE OF THE FORM.)							
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS					
JOSMARIE ADARMES				NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC.					
(b) County of Residence	of First Listed Plaintiff			County of Residence of	of First List	ted Defendant			
(c) Attorney's (Firm Name, Address, Telephone Number and Email Add				NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE				НЕ	
Craig Thor Kimmel, E				LAND	INVOLVED	Les			
Kimmel & Silverman, 30 E. Butler Pike	P.C.			Attorneys (If Known)					
Ambler, PA 19002									
(215) 540-8888									
II. BASIS OF JURISI	OICTION (Place an "X"	in One Box Only)		TIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIP	PAL PARTIES			
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff					TF DEF 1			PTF 4	DEF 4
☐ 2 U.S. Government	☐ 4 Diversity		Citize	en of Another State	2 🗇 2	2 Incorporated and I	Principal Place	5	5
Defendant	15.54	ip of Parties in Item III)		on or randaler state		of Business In		_ ,	_ ,
				en or Subject of a reign Country	3 🗖 🖯	3 Foreign Nation		□ 6	□ 6
IV. NATURE OF SUI		nly) RTS	F(DEELTUDE/DENALTY	D .	NUDLIDTOV	I OTHER	CT A TY IT	TEC 1
☐ 110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJUR		ORFEITURE/PENALTY 0 Agriculture		NKRUPTCY peal 28 USC 158	☐ 400 State R	eapportion	
☐ 120 Marine	☐ 310 Airplane	☐ 362 Personal Injury -	□ 62	0 Other Food & Drug	☐ 423 Withdrawal		☐ 410 Antitru	st	
☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument	☐ 315 Airplane Product Liability	Med. Malpractic ☐ 365 Personal Injury -		5 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881	28	USC 157	☐ 430 Banks a ☐ 450 Comme		ng
 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 		Product Liability	□ 63	0 Liquor Laws		ERTY RIGHTS	☐ 460 Deportation		
☐ 151 Medicare Act	☐ 330 Federal Employers'	368 Asbestos Persona Injury Product		0 R.R. & Truck 0 Airline Regs.			 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 		
☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans	Liability 340 Marine	Liability PERSONAL PROPER		0 Occupational Safety/Health	□ 840 Tra	demark			
(Excl. Veterans)	☐ 345 Marine Product	370 Other Fraud	□ 69	0 Other	20.01		☐ 810 Selectiv	ve Service	
☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits	Liability ☐ 350 Motor Vehicle	☐ 371 Truth in Lending ☐ 380 Other Personal		LABOR 0 Fair Labor Standards	□ 861 HL	L SECURITY A (1395ff)	■ 850 Securit Exchan		odities/
 ☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☐ 190 Other Contract 	☐ 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability	Property Damage 385 Property Damage		Act 0 Labor/Mgmt. Relations		ck Lung (923) WC/DIWW (405(g))	□ 875 Custom 12 USC		ige
195 Contract Product Liability	☐ 360 Other Personal	Product Liability		0 Labor/Mgmt.Reporting	☐ 864 SSI	D Title XVI	☐ 890 Other S	Statutory A	
☐ 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY	Injury CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITION	NS 74	& Disclosure Act 0 Railway Labor Act	□ 865 RS	RAL TAX SUITS	□ 891 Agricul □ 892 Econom		
210 Land Condemnation	☐ 441 Voting	510 Motions to Vacat	e 🛮 79	0 Other Labor Litigation	☐ 870 Tax	tes (U.S. Plaintiff	☐ 893 Enviro	nmental M	latters
□ 220 Foreclosure□ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	☐ 442 Employment ☐ 443 Housing/	Sentence Habeas Corpus:	19	1 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act		Defendant) —Third Party	894 Energy 895 Freedor		
240 Torts to Land245 Tort Product Liability	Accommodations 444 Welfare	☐ 530 General ☐ 535 Death Penalty	\$-#XXX-95-Re-	IMMIGRATION	26	USC 7609	Act 900Appeal	of Eas Dat	armination
290 All Other Real Property	☐ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities -	540 Mandamus & Otl		2 Naturalization Application	1		Under I	Equal Acce	
	Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities -	☐ 550 Civil Rights ☐ 555 Prison Condition		3 Habeas Corpus - Alien Detainee			to Justic		of
	Other 440 Other Civil Rights			5 Other Immigration			State St	45.0	
	1 440 Other Civil Rights			Actions					
🕱 1 Original 🔲 2 Re		Remanded from Appellate Court			ferred from er district	☐ 6 Multidistr	rict 🗇 7	Appeal to Judge from Magistrat Judgment	m e
VI CALICE OF ACTI	Cite the U.S. Civil Sta	tute under which you a 110N 1692	re filing (Do not cite jurisdictiona	al statutes	unless diversity):	•	uugment	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	Differ description of ca	use: ction Practices Ad	ct						
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	☐ CHECK IF THIS UNDER F.R.C.P.	IS A CLASS ACTION 23) Di	EMAND \$		CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND:		complair No	nt:
VIII. RELATED CAS	E(S)								
	(See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCK	ET NUMBER			
Explanation:									
DATE		SIGNATURE O	F ATTOR	RNEY OF RECORD					
01/28/2013				1/)					
,				1 /					

Case 2:13-cv-00475-CMR Document 1 Filed 01/28/13 Page 2 of 10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA — DESIGNATION FORM to be used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to appropriate calendar.

assignment to appropriate calendar.	
Address of Plaintiff: 18 Main Street, Apt. 4, Schwenks	ville, PA 19473
Address of Defendant: 507 Prudential Rd., Horsham, PA	19044
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction:	
(Use Reverse Side For A	
Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation	
(Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1(a)	Yes□ No⊠
Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities?	Yes□ No⊠
RELATED CASE, IF ANY:	
Case Number: Judge	Date Terminated:
Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions:	
1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one y	ear previously terminated action in this court?
	Yes□ No⊠
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior action in this court?	suit pending or within one year previously terminated
	Yes□ No⊠
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier	
terminated action in this court?	Yes□ No⊠
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil righ	ts case filed by the same individual?
in a min case a second of successive maceus corpus, second security appear, or pro second mage	Yes□ No⊠
CIVIL: (Place ✓ in one category only)	
A. Federal Question Cases:	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:
1. □ Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts	1. □ Insurance Contract and Other Contracts
2. □ FELA	2. □ Airplane Personal Injury
3. □ Jones Act-Personal Injury	3. □ Assault, Defamation
4. □ Antitrust	4. □ Marine Personal Injury
5. □ Patent	5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury
6. □ Labor-Management Relations	6. □ Other Personal Injury (Please specify)
7. □ Civil Rights	7. □ Products Liability
8. Habeas Corpus	8. Products Liability — Asbestos
9. □ Securities Act(s) Cases	9. □ All other Diversity Cases
10. □ Social Security Review Cases	(Please specify)
11. M All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify) 15 U.S.C. § 1692	
ARBITRATION CERT	IFICATION
(Check Appropriate C I, Craig Thor Kimmel , counsel of record do hereby certi	
□ Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and	
\$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs;	
□ Relief other than monetary damages is sought.	
DATE: 01/28/2013	57100
Attorney-at-Law	Attorney I.D.#
NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if the	ere has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or	within one year previously terminated action in this court
except as noted above.	,
PATTE 01 (00 (001)	57100
DATE: 01/28/2013 Attorney-at-Law	Attorney I.D.#

CIV. 609 (5/2012)

Case 2:13-cv-00475-CMR Document 1 Filed 01/28/13 Page 3 of 10

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

		•	CIVIL ACTION	
v.		:	NO	
NCO FINANCIAL SYSTE	EMS, INC.	:	NO.	
		:		
plaintiff shall complete a Ca filing the complaint and serve side of this form.) In the designation, that defendant s	ase Management To a copy on all deference that a deference thall, with its first a case Management.	Track Des Tendants. Idant doo Tappearancent Track	lay Reduction Plan of this consignation Form in all civil case (See § 1:03 of the plan set fortes not agree with the plaintiffice, submit to the clerk of court at Designation Form specifying the	es at the time of the on the reverse regarding said and serve on the
SELECT ONE OF THE F	OLLOWING CA	SE MA	NAGEMENT TRACKS:	
a) Habeas Corpus – Cases	brought under 28 U	J.S.C. §	2241 through § 2255.	()
b) Social Security – Cases and Human Services der			sion of the Secretary of Health ity Benefits.	()
c) Arbitration – Cases requ	ired to be designat	ed for ar	bitration under Local Civil Rul	e 53.2. (X)
d) Asbestos – Cases involvexposure to asbestos.	ing claims for pers	onal inju	ary or property damage from	()
commonly referred to as	complex and that	need spe	acks (a) through (d) that are cial or intense management by ed explanation of special	
*		/		()
f) Standard Management –	Cases that do not	fall into	any one of the other tracks.	()
01/28/2013 Date	Attorney-at-law		Plaintiff, Josmarie Adarmes Attorney for	
215-540-8888 Telephone	877-788-2864 FAX Number		kimmel@creditlaw.com E-Mail Address	

JOSMARIE ADARMES

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE

EASTERN DISTRI	CI OF PENNSYLVAINIA
JOSMARIE ADARMES,)
Plaintiff)
V.) Case No.:
NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC.,) OCOMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR URY TRIAL
Defendant	
	_) (Unlawful Debt Collection Practices)
CON	<u>MPLAINT</u>
JOSMARIE ADARMES ("Plaintiff"	"), by and through her attorneys, KIMMEL &
SILVERMAN, P.C., alleges the following	g against NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC
("Defendant"):	
INTRO	DDUCTION
1. Plaintiff's Complaint is base	d on the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15
U.S.C. § 1692 et seq. ("FDCPA").	
JURISDICT	ION AND VENUE

&

- 2. Jurisdiction of this court arises pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d), which states that such actions may be brought and heard before "any appropriate United States district court without regard to the amount in controversy," and 28 U.S.C. § 1331 grants this court original jurisdiction of all civil actions arising under the laws of the United States.
- 3. Defendant has its corporate headquarters in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and as such, personal jurisdiction is established.
 - Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(1). 4.

2

3

5

7

8

10

11 12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

2021

22

2324

25

PARTIES

- 5. Plaintiff is a natural person residing in Schwenksville, Pennsylvania, 19473.
- 6. Plaintiff is a "consumer" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. §1692a(3).
- 7. In the alternative, Plaintiff is a person granted a cause of action under the FDCPA. See 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a) and Wenrich v. Cole, 2000 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 18687 (E.D. Pa. Dec. 22, 2000).
- 8. Defendant is a national debt collection company with its corporate headquarters located at 507 Prudential Road, Horsham, Pennsylvania 19044.
- 9. Defendant is a "debt collector" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. §1692a(6), and repeatedly contacted Plaintiff in an attempt to collect a debt.
- 10. Defendant acted through its agents, employees, officers, members, directors, heirs, successors, assigns, principals, trustees, sureties, subrogees, representatives, and insurers.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 11. At all pertinent times hereto, Defendant was hired to collect a consumer debt and repeatedly contacted Plaintiff in its attempts to collect that debt.
- 12. Upon information and belief, the alleged debt, a Sprint bill, arose out of transactions, which were primarily for personal, family, or household purposes.
- 13. Beginning in May 2012, and continuing through January 2013, Defendant continuously contacted Plaintiff residential telephone seeking and demanding payment of an alleged debt.
- 14. During the relevant period, Defendant called Plaintiff, on average, one (1) to three (3) times a day.

- 15. Plaintiff knew it was Defendant contacting her because she had spoken with its collectors on numerous occasions and they identified their company as "NCO Financial Systems."
- 16. Plaintiff instructed Defendant to stop calling her several times, however, these instructions were ignored and calls continued.
- 17. In one conversation, Defendant demanded that if Plaintiff did not make a payment of up to \$250.00, Defendant would "take the next step against [her]." Plaintiff responded that she was a single mother of two children and that she could not afford to make payments on the alleged debt, to which Defendant's collector threatened that a lien would be placed on her house and a "hold" placed on her bank account.
- 18. Upon information and belief, Defendant did not intend to take or could legally take such actions at the time Defendant made those threats.
- 19. Upon information and belief, Defendant engaged in repetitive and continuous contact with the intent of harassing Plaintiff into paying this debt.
- 20. Defendant's conduct was dishonest, deceitful, false, misleading, abusive and harassing.
- 21. Defendant's collectors made threats with the intent to abuse, annoy, intimidate and scare Plaintiff, so that she might chose to pay the alleged debt rather than be exposed to more the same such treatment.
- 22. On occasions, Defendant called before 8:00 a.m. and after 9:00 p.m., which Defendant knew was per se inconvenient and not authorized by Plaintiff.
- 23. Further, it was inconvenient for Plaintiff to receive calls in those instances where Defendant would contact Plaintiff before 8:00 a.m. and after 9:00 p.m., because this was a time

when Plaintiff would be getting her children ready for school in the morning and putting them to bed at night.

- 24. Most recently, Defendant contacted Plaintiff on January 4, 2013, at 8:01 a.m.
- 25. Finally, within five (5) days of its initial communication with Plaintiff, Defendant failed to send written notification informing her of her rights to dispute the debt and/or request verification of the debt.
- 26. To date, Plaintiff has yet to receive any written notification from Defendant regarding his rights to dispute the debt and/or request verification of the debt.

DEFENDANT VIOLATED THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT

COUNT I

- 27. Defendant's conduct, as detailed in the preceding paragraphs, violated 15 U.S.C. §1692c(a)(1).
 - a. A debt collector violates §1692c(a)(1) of the FDCPA by communicating with the consumer at any unusual time or place or a time or place known or which should be known to be inconvenient to the consumer, including communication between a debt collector and consumer prior to 8:00 a.m. or after 9:00 p.m.
 - b. Here, Defendant violated §1692c(a)(1) of the FDCPA by placing numerous collection calls to Plaintiff's residential telephone before 8:00 a.m. and after 9:00 p.m.

COUNT II

- 28. Defendant's conduct, as detailed in the preceding paragraphs, violated 15 U.S.C. §§1692d and 1692d(5).
 - a. A debt collector violates §1692d of the FDCPA by engaging in conduct of the natural consequence of which is to harass, oppress, or abuse any person in connection with the collection of a debt.
 - b. A debt collector violates §1692d(5) of the FDCPA by causing a telephone to ring or engaging any person in telephone conversation repeatedly or continuously with the intent to annoy, abuse, or harass any person at the called number.
 - c. Here, Defendant violated §§1692d and 1692d(5) of the FDCPA by continuously calling Plaintiff's residential telephone on an average one (1) to three (3) times a day, with the intent to annoy, abuse, and harass Plaintiff.

COUNT III

- 29. Defendant's conduct, as detailed in the preceding paragraphs, violated 15 U.S.C. §1692e(5) of the FDCPA.
 - a. A debt collector violates §1692e(5) by threatening to take any action that cannot legally be taken or that is not intended to be taken.
 - b. Here, Defendant violated §1692e(5) of the FDCPA by threatening to take legal action against Plaintiff if she did make a payment on the alleged debt by putting a lien on her house and a hold on her bank account, lacking the intent

3 4

5

6

7

9

8

11

10

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2021

22

2324

25

to take such action.

COUNT IV

- 30. Defendant's conduct, detailed in the preceding paragraphs, violated 15 U.S.C. §1692g.
 - A debt collector violates §1692g(a) of the FDCPA by failing to send to the consumer, within five days after its initial communication with a consumer in connection with the collection of a debt, a written notice containing: (1) the amount of the debt; (2) the name of the creditor to whom the debt is owed; (3) a statement that unless the consumer, within thirty days after receipt of the notice, disputes the validity of the debt, or any portion thereof, the debt will be assumed to be valid by the debt collector; (4) a statement that if the consumer notifies the debt collector in writing within the thirty-day period that the debt, or any portion thereof, is disputed, the debt collector will obtain verification of the debt or a copy of a judgment against the consumer and a copy of such verification or judgment will be mailed to the consumer by the debt collector; and (5) a statement that, upon the consumer's written request within the thirty-day period, the debt collector will provide the consumer with the name and address of the original creditor, if different from the current creditor.
 - b. Here, Defendant violated §1692g of the FDCPA by failing to send written notification, within five (5) days after its initial communication with Plaintiff, advising Plaintiff of her rights to dispute the debt or request

1 verification of the debt or providing her with the name of the original creditor 2 and the amount of the debt. 3 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, JOSMARIE ADARMES, respectfully prays for a judgment as 4 follows: 5 a. All actual damages suffered pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(1); 6 b. Statutory damages of \$1,000.00 for the violation of the FDCPA pursuant to 7 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(2)(A); 8 c. All reasonable attorneys' fees, witness fees, court costs and other litigation 9 costs incurred by Plaintiff pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1693k(a)(3); and 10 d. Any other relief deemed appropriate by this Honorable Court. 11 DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL 12 PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Plaintiff, JOSMARIE ADARMES, demands a jury trial 13 14 in this case. 15 RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED, 16 17 Date: By: CRAIG THOR KIMMEL 18 Attorney ID No. 57100 Kimmel & Silverman, P.C. 19 30 E. Butler Pike Ambler, PA 19002 20 Phone: (215) 540-8888 21 Fax: (877) 788-2864 kimmel@creditlaw.com Email: 22 23 24

Case 2:13-cv-00475-CMR Document 1 Filed 01/28/13 Page 10 of 10

25